

Orders

S.no.	Parties	Writ no.	Order date	Conclusion
1.	Smt. Najmeen And Another vs. State of U.P. And 3 Others	C No. - 44380 of 2023	16.01.2024	Police protection was granted as the online marriage registration application was completed. The court asked the couple to get marriage registered within a period of two months, otherwise the protection will stand automatically vacated.
2.	Alok Kumar Mishra And Another vs. State Of U.P. And 3 Others	C No. - 35468 of 2023	16.01.2024	Police protection was granted as the online marriage registration application was completed.
3.	Jyoti Pal And Another vs State of UP	C No. - 44352 of 2023	16.01.2024	Police protection was granted as the online marriage registration application was completed.
4.	Smt. Neha Bi And Another vs. State Of U.P. And 3 Others	C No. - 44334 of 2023	16.01.2024	Protection was not granted, merely noting that FIR is already registered.
5.	Smt. Toshiba And Another vs. State of UP	C No. - 44311 of 2023	11.01.2024	Protection was not granted, merely noting that FIR is already registered.
6.	Smt. Payal And Another vs. State Of U.P	C No. - 44298 of 2023	11.01.2024	Protection was denied only on the basis of lack of valid proof of marriage.
7.	Prakash Singh And Another vs State Of UP	C No. - 44195 of 2023	12.01.2024	Protection was denied merely on the basis of lack of valid proof of marriage.

Orders

8.	Smt.Soni Kumari And Another vs. State Of U.P	C No. - 42138 of 2023	10.01.2024	Protection was denied citing lack of jurisdiction, as the interfering party was outside the state, not considering the fact that petitioners are residents of Uttar Pradesh.
9.	Smt Sabila Khatoon And Another vs State of UP	C No. - 41735 of 2023	16.01.2024	Protection was denied, arguing that since the father (who is identified as a threat) is in Bihar, there can be no threat to the couples who are living in UP.
10.	Smt Divyanshi And Another vs. State Of UP	C No. - 18266 of 2023	12.01.2024	Protection was not granted, arguing that the petitioner did not approach the concerned authority in the first place. It also cited lack of any visible threat to the couple as other reason.
11.	Priya Verma @ Zoya And Another vs. State Of U.P. And 3 Others	C No. - 44371 of 2023	16.01.2024	Protection was denied to the interfaith couple (Hindu and Muslim), as the judgement noted that the solemnisation of the marriage was not in compliance with the Uttar Pradesh Prohibition of Unlawful Conversion of Religion Act, 2021.
12.	Gajala And Another vs. State of Uttar Pradesh	C No. - 6350 of 2024	05.03.2024	Protection was denied on the ground that the petitioner did not divorce her existing husband and therefore the live-in relationship cannot be accepted by the court (which it argued to be a crime under IPC Section 494 and 495). Furthermore, since the

Orders

				case involved interfaith couple, the court also cited non-compliance with Section 8 and 9 of the Conversion Act as other reason for denying the protection.
13.	Kavita And Another vs. State Of U.P. And 3 Others	C No. - 43515 of 2023	16.01.2024	Protection was denied merely on the basis of lack of valid proof of marriage.
14.	Smt Shivangi Singh And Another vs State of UP	C No. - 2366 of 2024	28.02.2024	Protection was denied to the couple, as the court observed that 3 years have already gone past since the marriage and the couple did not go to the police when it was earlier directed by the court. The bench of Renu Agarwal further noted in its order that “there is no FIR till date and no cause of action has been disclosed in the entire petition”. The petitioners in their supplementary affidavit had mentioned that they approached the police, but police did not help them. The bench looked unsatisfied that the petitioners failed to approach police early on when directed, but it seems to be a weak argument by the court.
15.	Smt Himani Chauhan vs State of Uttar Pradesh	C No. - 3 of 2024	10.01.2024	Protection was denied citing lack of jurisdiction, as the petitioner was previously resident of Delhi. The judgement did not take into account the

Orders

				fact that the petitioner had already shifted to Deoria, UP.
16.	Smt. Shiba And Another vs. State of Uttar Pradesh	C No. - 43504 of 2023	16.01.2024	Protection was not granted, noting that FIR is already registered.
17.	Smt. Pinki Devi And Another vs. State of UP	C No. - 42986 of 2023	28.02.2024	Police Protection was granted, noting that both the petitioners are of the same religion and possess valid marriage registration certificate. As identical in all other cases where police protection had been granted, the judgement noted that the petitioners had earlier approached the police but it failed to do anything.
18.	Smt Manu And Another vs. State Of UP And 3 Others	C No. - 4706 of 2024	28.02.2024	Police Protection was granted, noting that both the petitioners are of the same religion and possess valid marriage registration certificate.
19.	Smt. Arti Devi And Another	C No. - 43530 of 2023	16.01.2024	Police Protection was granted, noting that the petitioners have completed their online marriage registration application.
20.	Smt. Swati Kumari And Another vs. State of UP	C No. - 40434 of 2023	12.01.2024	Protection was denied only on the basis of lack of valid proof of marriage.